## Proposed Capital Renewal PROJECT EVALUATION

WEIGHT	PROPOSAL CRITERION	ASSESSORS	SCORE	SCORING RUBRIC	WEIGHTED SCORE
10	Criticality for sustaining academic excellence (teaching and research mission)			<ul> <li>0: Project has no bearing on academic excellence</li> <li>1: Project has little bearing on academic excellence</li> <li>3: Academic excellence would be difficult to maintain or achieve without this project</li> <li>5: Project is necessary to maintain or achieve academic excellence</li> </ul>	0
5	Criticality for current undergraduate and graduate student body experience			<ol> <li>Project has no bearing on student experience</li> <li>Project has little bearing on student experience and/or impacts a small segment of students</li> <li>Project will enhance student experience and/or impacts a moderate segment of students</li> <li>Project is necessary to ensure positive student experience and impacts students campus wide</li> </ol>	0
5	Safety/Compliance Risk: To what extent would the project reduce campus risk by improving health and safety, seismic, ADA, or other code, regulatory and legal compliance issues?			<ol> <li>Project would not reduce campus risk or improve health and safety</li> <li>Project would minimally reduce campus risk and/or minimally improve health and safety</li> <li>Project would moderately reduce campus risk and/or moderately improve health and safety</li> <li>Project would greatly reduce campus risk and/or greatly improve health and safety</li> </ol>	0
5	<b>Operational/Lifecycle Risk:</b> To what extent would the project reduce campus operational and maintenance obligation and address life cycle considerations?			<ul> <li>0: Project would not reduce operational and maintenance obligation</li> <li>1: Project would minimally reduce operational and maintenance obligation; system has not exceeded its useful life and asset is unlikely to fail</li> <li>3: Project would moderately reduce operational and maintenance obligation; system/parts are obsolete and asset is likely to fail</li> <li>5: Project would greatly reduce operational and maintenance obligation; system/parts are well beyond useful life and/or asset has failed</li> </ul>	0
5	<b>Mission risk:</b> To what extent is the project necessary to ensuring the ongoing mission of the programs at the facility?			<ul> <li>0: Project has no impact on mission of program(s) in facility</li> <li>1: Project has minimal impact on mission of program(s) in facility</li> <li>3: Project has moderate impact on mission of program(s) in facility</li> <li>5: Failure to complete project will result in significant impact, delay or shutdown of mission of program(s) in facility</li> </ul>	0
5	Sustainability: To what extent would the project optimize energy, water and other resource efficiency and/or increase compliance to UC Carbon Neutrality 2025 Goal and related sustainability goals?			<ol> <li>O: Project would negatively impact resource efficiency and sustainability goals</li> <li>1: Project would maintain resource efficiency and would not impact sustainability goals</li> <li>3: Project would moderately improve resource efficiency and/or moderately increase compliance to sustainability goals</li> <li>5: Project would greatly improve resource efficiency and/or greatly increase compliance to sustainability goals</li> </ol>	0
	Opportunity of Investment: To what extent will this project realize ongoing campus savings or returns relative to project costs (e.g. energy or O&M cost savings, supports revenue generation, leverages other capital investment dollars, etc.)?			0: Project will realize no cost savings or returns 1: Project will realize minimal cost savings 3: Project will realize moderate cost savings 5: Project will realize significant cost savings	0
				AVERAGE WEIGHTED SCORE:	0.000